



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

DEC 8 1997

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

DEC - 9 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The Honorable Carl Levin  
United States Senate  
459 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510-2202

Dear Senator Levin:

Thank you for your letter dated November 5, 1997, on behalf of your constituents throughout the State of Michigan, who are concerned about the placement and construction of facilities for the provision of personal wireless services and radio and television broadcast services in their communities. Your constituents' letter refers to three proceedings that are pending before the Commission. In MM Docket No. 97-182, the Commission has sought comments on a Petition for Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making filed by the National Association for Broadcasters and the Association for Maximum Service Television. In this proceeding, the petitioners ask the Commission to adopt a rule limiting the exercise of State and local zoning authority with respect to broadcast transmission facilities in order to facilitate the rapid build-out of digital television facilities, as required by the Commission's rules to fulfill Congress' mandate. In WT Docket No. 97-192, the Commission has sought comment on proposed procedures for reviewing requests for relief from State and local regulations that are alleged to impermissibly regulate the siting of personal wireless service facilities based on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, and related matters. Finally, in DA 96-2140 and FCC 97-264, the Commission twice sought comments on a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association seeking relief from certain State and local moratoria that have been imposed on the siting of commercial mobile radio service facilities.

Because all of these proceedings are still pending, we cannot comment on the merits of the issues at this time. However, I can assure you that the Commission is committed to providing a full opportunity for all interested parties to participate. The Commission has formally sought public comment in all three proceedings and, as a result, has received numerous comments from State and local governments, service providers, and the public at large. Your letter, as well as this response, will be placed in the record of all three proceedings and will be given full consideration.


Not of Copies made  
LEGAT/DE

81

Further information regarding the Commission's policies toward personal wireless service facilities siting, including many of the comments in the two proceedings involving personal wireless service facilities, is available on the Commission's internet site at <http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/siting>.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David Furth". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "D" and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

David L. Furth  
Chief, Commercial Wireless Division  
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

CARL LEVIN  
MICHIGAN

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-2202

November 5, 1997

Chairman William Kennard  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

11/2  
due 12/12  
BT's sig  
WTB  
C. Quinn  
PK  
J. O. R.  
1/10

Dear Chairman Kennard:

~~Congratulations on your appointment as Chairman!~~ Your outstanding qualifications and experience with the Commission should serve you well in the demanding and challenging position you have undertaken. As Chairman, your position is one of utmost importance in the ~~successful implementation of the 1996~~ Telecommunications Act, a process in which I am abidingly interested. As a former local government official I am especially concerned that the Act be implemented in a manner consistent with the Congressional intent that the rights of local governments be ~~given due and proper consideration.~~

On that score, I have recently received numerous letters from municipalities in Michigan expressing grave concerns over what they perceive to be the FCC's attempts to preempt local zoning of cellular, radio and TV towers. I am enclosing a copy of the letter from the City of Grand Rapids, which is representative of the group. I would appreciate it if you would address the concerns in that letter and respond to me.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Once again, congratulations on your recent appointment.

Best wishes.

Sincerely,

Carl Levin

Carl Levin

Enclosure  
CL/amp/shw

RECEIVED 9 7 1997

PLANNING  
DEPARTMENT

CITY OF GRAND RAPIDS

October 24, 1997

U.S. Senator Carl Levin  
110 Michigan, N.W. - Room 134  
Grand Rapids, MI 49503

U.S. Senator Spence Abraham  
3738 28th Street, S.E.  
Grand Rapids, MI 49512

U.S. Representative Vernon Ehlers  
1526 Longworth HOB  
Washington, D.C. 20515-2203

Dear Senator Levin, Senator Abraham and Representative Ehlers:

We are writing you about the Federal Communications Commission and its attempts to preempt local zoning of cellular, radio and TV towers by making the FCC the "Federal Zoning Commission" for all cellular telephone and broadcast towers. Both Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a peculiarly local function. Please immediately contact the FCC and tell it to stop these efforts which violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress expressly reaffirmed local zoning authority over cellular towers. It told the FCC to stop all rulemakings where the FCC was attempting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction from Congress, the FCC is now attempting to preempt local zoning authority in three different rulemakings.

Cellular Towers - Radiation: Congress expressly preserved local zoning authority over cellular towers in the 1996 Telecommunications Act with the sole exception that municipalities cannot regulate the radiation from cellular antennas if it is within limits set by the FCC. The FCC is attempting to have the "exception swallow the rule" by using the limited authority Congress gave it over cellular tower radiation to review and reverse any cellular zoning decision in the U.S. which it finds is "tainted" by radiation concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In fact, the FCC is saying that it can "second guess" what the true reasons for a municipality's decision are, need not be bound by the stated reasons given by a municipality and doesn't even need to wait until a local planning decision is final before the FCC acts.

7

Some of our citizens are concerned about the radiation from cellular towers. We cannot prevent them from mentioning their concerns in a public hearing. In its rulemaking the FCC is saying that if any citizen raises this issue that this is sufficient basis for a cellular zoning decision to immediately be taken over by the FCC and potentially reversed, even if the municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements and the decision is completely valid on other grounds, such as the impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

**Cellular Towers - Moratoria:** Relatedly the FCC is proposing a rule banning the moratoria that some municipalities impose on cellular towers while they revise their zoning ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the FCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission.

**Radio/TV Towers:** The FCC's proposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bad: It sets an artificial limit of 21 to 45 days for municipalities to act on any local permit (environmental, building permit, zoning or other). Any permit request is automatically deemed granted if the municipality doesn't act in this timeframe, even if the application is incomplete or clearly violates local law. And the FCC's proposed rule would prevent municipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be overridden by the FCC! And all appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts.


This proposal is astounding when broadcast towers are some of the tallest structures known to man - over 2,000 feet tall, taller than the Empire State Building. The FCC claims these changes are needed to allow TV stations to switch to High Definition Television quickly. But The Wall Street Journal and trade magazines state there is no way the FCC and broadcasters will meet the current schedule anyway, so there is no need to violate the rights of municipalities and their residents just to meet an artificial deadline.

These actions represent a power grab by the FCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for cellular towers and broadcast towers. They violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it didn't like.

Please do three things to stop the FCC: First, write new FCC Chairman William Kennard and FCC Commissioners Susan Ness, Harold Furchtgott-Roth, Michael Powell and Gloria Tristani telling them to stop this intrusion on local zoning authority in cases WT 97-197, MM Docket 97-182 and DA 96-2140; second, join in the "Dear Colleague Letter" currently being prepared to go to the FCC from many members of Congress; and third, oppose any effort by Congress to grant the FCC the power to act as a "Federal Zoning Commission" and preempt local zoning authority.

The following people at national municipal organizations are familiar with the FCC's proposed rules and municipalities' objections to them: Barrie Tabin at the National League of Cities, 202-626-3194; Eileen Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors, 703-506-3275; Robert Fogel at the National Association of Counties, 202-393-6226; Kevin McCarty at the U.S. Conference of Mayors, 202-293-7330; and Cheryl Maynard at the American Planning Association, 202-872-0611. Feel free to call them if you have questions.

Very truly yours,



William F. Hoyt, Director  
Planning Department



Kenneth J. Schilling  
Assistant Planning Director

WFH:ms

cc: See attached list